



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/732,948	12/07/2000	Michael Wray	B-4052 618408-0	2780	
22879	7590 12/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			WRIGHT, N	WRIGHT, NORMAN M	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLL	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		2134		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/732,948	WRAY, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norman M. Wright	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	iaust 2005		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the merits is	1
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-30</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are without	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •	).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		Ź
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	<del></del>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	NORMANM. WRIGHT PRIMARY EXAMINER	<b>—</b> 6)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te '	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-11 and 14-30, which were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 14-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art, hereinafter 'aapa'.

As per claims 1-11, 14-18, AAPA teach a digitally signed certificated having: content data, identified issuer/data handling arrangement, a certificate subject, a electronic signature/signature arrangement, a condition requiring a particular/generic subject, a particular attribute, attribute delegation, cert subject specifically identified, particular subject implicitly and explicitly identified, multiple conditions, predetermined logical relationship, both explicitly and implicitly suggested, and validity data. See aapa

figure 1-4, page 2, lines 5 et seq., page 3, lines 1-7 et seq., page 6, lines 2 et seq., page 3, lines 10-20, page 4, line 1-3, and page 6, line 27 et seq. AAPA teaches that certificate content for a particular subject may be inferred or explicitly transferred between particular subjects, which have a predetermined logical relationship see the background of the invention. Moreover the relationship may be implied or explicitly as taught at aapa pages 2-4 et seq. A condition in aapa is that the particular party must be able to respond to the challenge –response transaction by knowing the key pair used to encrypt the data. Not explicitly taught is the certificate being stored in a memory.

It is inherent that the SPKI certificate of figures 1-4 are stored in a computer readable memory because, computer systems that utilized electronic certificates must buffer the certificates before they can be utilized in a computer system. This is the convention in the data processing arts; moreover, the use of computer readable memories are utilized in data processing systems for holding data and programs that the computer system needs to accomplish its programming.

Similarly claims 19-30, use the terms reduction/trust discovery engine, trust chain verifier/builder, and trust chain branch control are defined in the disclosure as a means of proving a trust relationship, via branches or trust chains being built from justifying certificates. Utilizing the delegated rules and names to establish such relationships, either from a known trusted chain or at the end of the trusted chain, see disclosure page 9 et seq.. In this instant the engine general-purpose compute is utilized to effect the verification and authorization of trusted chain links, more particularly the certificate content management of trust from one link to another, page 11, lines 1-5 et seq..

Application/Control Number: 09/732,948 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

Additionally, the process functions the same regardless of what the engine or computer and its associated routines are labeled.

# Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments are drawn to generalize attributes having specific meaning within the claims; however, the claims do not specifically recite said features.

  Therefore, it is most whether or not the prior art has such features.
- 1. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09732948. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (571) 272-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, from 8AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norman M. Wright Primary Examiner Art Unit 2134